



TRENDS AND FACTORS IMPACTING ON LATIN AMERICAN EQUITY MARKET DEVELOPMENT



Note: This draft report was prepared as background for discussion at the 2013 Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable meeting in Quito, Ecuador by Daniel Blume, Senior Policy Analyst, OECD Corporate Affairs Division, with the support of data development and analysis by Dr. Alexandre Di Miceli da Silveira and research assistance from Ph.D. candidate Pedro Henrique Barros. Special thanks go to the Ibero-American Federation of Exchanges and their Secretary-General, Elvira Schamann, for their data and feedback on market trends from the region's stock exchanges, and to Mats Isaksson and Serdar Celik of the OECD Secretariat for the excerpts integrated into this report developed for the OECD project on "Corporate Governance, Value Creation and Growth." Finally, we wish to acknowledge the funding support of the Spanish government for this initiative, and the support of this year's Roundtable hosts, the Bolsa de Valores de Quito and Instituto Ecuatoriano de Gobernanza Corporativa.

Please send any comments or drafting suggestions to Daniel.Blume@oecd.org.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 2. The rationale for corporate governance and public policy | 5 |
| 3. Trends in developed and emerging markets | 5 |
| 4. Equity market development in Latin American economies..... | 7 |
| Overall equity market size and growth..... | 7 |
| Market concentration and listed company size | 8 |
| Market Liquidity | 9 |
| Latin American corporate financing trends: IPOs, ADRs and bonds..... | 9 |
| Overall trends in stock market growth and company value | 11 |
| 5. Latin American corporate ownership and control structures | 12 |
| The key role of Corporate Groups..... | 12 |
| Institutional Investors..... | 14 |
| State ownership | 15 |
| 6. Market structure and trading practice influence on the incentives of different market actors..... | 16 |
| Fragmentation in equity markets..... | 17 |
| High frequency trading..... | 18 |
| Exchange traded funds | 20 |
| Impacts on incentives..... | 21 |
| 7. Overall corporate governance trends in Latin America..... | 21 |
| Argentina..... | 22 |
| Brazil..... | 24 |
| Chile..... | 25 |
| Colombia..... | 26 |
| Mexico..... | 27 |
| Peru | 28 |
| Ecuador..... | 28 |
| 8. Conclusions and Issues for Discussion..... | 29 |
| References..... | 32 |
| ANNEX 1 | 33 |

Tables

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 1. | Number of IPO in Latin America from 2003 to 2012 by country and by year | 10 |
| Table 2. | Number of Latin America companies that have cross-listed in the US market from 2003 to 2012 by country and by year | 11 |
| Table 3. | Ownership structure of SOEs in some of the largest Latin American Economies..... | 15 |
| Table 4. | Estimated share of trade volume in the US (% , September 2009 | 17 |

Figures

| | | |
|-----------|--|---|
| Figure 1. | Total investment in emerging markets (% of GDP) Gross fixed capital formation as percentage of GDP)..... | 6 |
| Figure 2. | Global shift in equity markets | 7 |

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 3. | Trade increased faster than the supply of new equity | 16 |
| Figure 4. | Global ETF assets | 20 |
| Figure 5. | Market capitalization of listed companies (% of GDP): 5 years average 1991-2011 | 33 |
| Figure 6. | Listed domestic companies, total: 1998-2011 | 34 |
| Figure 7. | Listed domestic companies per million inhabitants: 5 years average 1991-2011 | 35 |
| Figure 8. | Market concentration: Share in total market cap of top 10 most cap firms: 1998-2010 .. | 36 |
| Figure 9. | Market Capitalisation of Latin America listed companies on Dec 31st, 2012 (000' US\$) | 37 |
| Figure 10. | Stocks traded, total value (% of GDP): 1988-2011 | 38 |
| Figure 11. | Stocks traded, turnover ratio (%): 1989-2011 | 39 |
| Figure 12. | Average Free Float of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012..... | 40 |
| Figure 13. | Capital raised on primary equity markets (only IPOs) in '000 000 USD from 2002 to 2012 | 41 |
| Figure 14. | Capital raised on equity markets (IPO + Follow-On) in '000 000 USD from 2002 to 2012 | 42 |
| Figure 15. | Capital raised on equity (IPO + Follow-On) and bond markets in '000 000 USD: 2004 to 2012 | 43 |
| Figure 16. | Percentage of listed firms listed on NYSE or on BM&FBOVESPA special listing segments Level2 or Novo Mercado | 44 |
| Figure 17. | Average Stock Returns (%) of Latin America Listed Companies: 1995-2012 | 45 |
| Figure 18. | Cumulative Average Stock Returns of Latin America Listed Companies and FTSE 300: Dec 31st 1994 = 100..... | 46 |
| Figure 19. | Average price-to-earnings (PE ratio) of Latin America Listed Companies: 1995-2012 .. | 47 |
| Figure 20. | Average percentage of voting shares held by the largest shareholder of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012..... | 48 |
| Figure 21. | Average percentage of voting shares held by the largest shareholder of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012..... | 49 |
| Figure 22. | Annual volume traded of Latin America listed companies in 2012 (000' US\$) | 50 |

TRENDS AND FACTORS IMPACTING ON LATIN AMERICAN EQUITY MARKET DEVELOPMENT

1. Introduction

1. This report, prepared as background for the June 20-21 2013 meeting of the Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable, comes at a critical juncture. Equity markets all over the world are undergoing important changes. In the most developed markets, high-frequency trading, increased use of indexing and growing market fragmentation are being seen, along with a marked decline in initial public offerings (IPOs) and increase in de-listings during the last decade. Meanwhile, some of the larger emerging markets have picked up a growing share of IPOs. One consequence of this development is that, on a global scale, companies with concentrated ownership are becoming the norm.

2. Developments in terms of market structure, investment strategies and ownership have also raised questions about the conditions for equity-financed growth and the need to adapt corporate governance requirements and practices to changing circumstances. These are some of the questions and issues being discussed as the OECD prepares to revise the *OECD Principles of Corporate Governance* beginning in 2014. It is in this context that the OECD has also initiated a project on “Corporate Governance, Value Creation and Growth,” aimed at better understanding these developments and the policy responses that may be necessary to address them.

3. The Roundtable meeting will serve as an opportunity to better understand the particular features and challenges associated with equity market developments worldwide and in particular in relation to the experience of equity markets in Latin America. At center stage will be the question of how equity markets can serve the needs of the real economy and ensure that companies with growth potential get access to the risk capital they need for innovation and job creation.

4. The Roundtable’s sessions have been designed and structured to address several of the special characteristics of Latin American markets, such as the prevalence of concentrated ownership, low liquidity and conglomerates, and the challenges that this presents for oversight of related party transactions; efforts to promote equity market growth and more active trading through SME listings; the strong role played by state ownership as well as by institutional investors; and how corporate governance policies, regulations and practices have evolved or should be adjusted to fit the particular challenges and opportunities associated with such characteristics.

5. This paper provides background in particular for the Roundtable’s first session, which will take stock of how markets in the region have evolved over the last decade and the current corporate governance challenges that they face. Due to data limitations, the report focuses mainly on the region’s six largest markets – Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru – and to a lesser extent on this year’s Roundtable meeting host, Ecuador. The report will be revised and updated following the Roundtable meeting to take into account discussions and additional information obtained both at the meeting and through written submissions.

2. The rationale for corporate governance and public policy

6. The OECD's 2013 report on "Corporate Governance in Current Equity Markets" lays out the rationale for the OECD's interest in promoting effective corporate governance arrangements.¹ It notes that corporate governance policies, laws and regulations influence capital formation and capital allocation, which in turn determine economic growth. These rules and regulations determine the conditions under which corporations are allowed to access public equity markets and the terms on which savers are able to invest and participate in the value creating process of the corporation.

7. The report highlights how the quality of the corporate governance framework and the challenges of policy design are relevant to three main stages of the investment process. The first stage revolves around *access* to finance: the ability and willingness of savers to invest in equity that provides entrepreneurs and growth companies with risk capital that they can use for innovation, job creation and growth. For savers (shareholders) to come forward, a number of provisions are needed, such as secure means of registration, transferability of shares, the right to receive corporate information and assurances that the contractual rights that come with equity ownership are well defined and enforceable. Entrepreneurs, on the other hand, will want to ensure that the framework makes it attractive to open up and share ownership with outsiders.

8. The second stage of the investment process is focused on ensuring that capital is *allocated* to its best possible use. This requires that shareholders have the necessary information to be able to identify and make decisions on the economic potential of corporate activities, and that they have incentives to independently gather and evaluate unique information about corporate prospects. This necessitates a range of different provisions for disclosure as well as procedures related to corporate control.

9. The final stage of the investment process is focused on ensuring that corporate governance rules enable shareholders to *monitor* boards and managers in their use of the money that shareholders have invested. Shareholder rights to influence board composition, remuneration practices and relations with stakeholders are important to this stage of the investment process.

10. Finally, it is important to note the unique role that equity financing plays in supporting corporate innovation and growth. Since equity capital has only a residual claim on corporate earnings, it can be used to finance projects with uncertain and long-term returns, such as research, product development, innovation or the opening of new markets. Importantly, the transferability of shares in the public equity market allows for the separation between the investment horizon of the individual saver and the investment horizon of the corporation, so that a promising research project or product innovation does not have to be stopped because a shareholder has an immediate need for cash.


3. Trends in developed and emerging markets

11. The global economy's extensive shift of wealth towards emerging economies over the last decades has been well documented. The OECD has summarised some of the key developments in "Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets: A Scoping Paper" (2013), excerpted in this section. The reshaping of the global economy is reflected in the increasing contribution of emerging economies to world GDP growth. Emerging and developing economies' share in global GDP was 40% in 2000, reached 49% in 2010 and it is estimated that it will reach 57% in 2030 (OECD, 2010).

¹ See also Isaksson, M. (2004), "Corporate Governance and Public Policy" in *The Future of Corporate Governance*, ed. Mats Isaksson and Rolf Skog.

12. Not surprisingly, the increasing contribution to world GDP growth has been coupled with the rise of total investment in emerging markets. Figure 1 shows that gross fixed capital formation as a percentage of GDP significantly increased in many emerging markets over the last 15 years, for instance in China from 34% to 45% and in India from 25% to 30%. However, over the same period, average total investment in OECD economies decreased from 21% of the GDP to 18%.

Figure 1. Total investment in emerging markets (% of GDP) Gross fixed capital formation as percentage of GDP)



Source: OECD National Accounts, World Bank National Accounts

13. An important development related to the increasing investment levels in emerging markets was the global shift of initial public offerings. As seen from Figure 2, emerging markets provided almost 60% of the total equity capital during the period 2008-2011, which was less than 20% between the period 1995-2003. Together with the capital raised in OECD economies, corporations in emerging economies raised more than 60% of the equity capital in the world between 2008 and 2011. Their share in secondary public offerings also increased from 7% in 2000 to 27% in 2011 (OECD, 2013).


14. It is important to note that the increasing level of investment does not itself explain the global shift of initial public offerings. First, the shift does not only emerge from a relative increase in emerging markets share, but also the absolute amount of total capital raised in OECD economies dropped significantly. The average annual total value of IPO proceeds decreased from 132.7 billion during the period 1993-2000 to 69.9 billion in the last decade in OECD economies. Second, although there has been a downward trend in total investment in OECD economies, the total amount of investment is still higher than in the emerging economies, USD 8.4 trillion and USD 7.1 trillion in 2011 respectively. Third, the investment level in OECD economies were almost stable before the financial crisis, but the decrease in IPO activities already started at the beginning of the last decade. Fourth, the growth in recent years was mainly driven by China, which overtook the US as the largest initial public offering market in the world in 2010.

15. Together with the delistings from stock exchanges in advanced economies, the increase in new listings in emerging economies has had an impact on the share of emerging markets in global market capitalisation. Initially representing less than 5% in 1990, today emerging markets represent almost 28% of

the global market capitalisation. Figure 2 indicates that most of the increase has occurred over the last decade.

Figure 2. Global shift in equity markets

The relative share of equity raised through initial public offerings by OECD and non-OECD corporations and its distribution between OECD and non-OECD equity markets



Source: OECD calculations, based on data from Thomson Reuters, Datastream, stock exchanges' and companies' websites.

Note: Data excludes investment funds, REITs, banks, insurance companies and other financial sector corporations. Covers a total number of 29,490 IPOs from 87 different countries. OECD Corporations' fundraising in non-OECD markets throughout the period was insignificant, therefore not included in the figure.

4. Equity market development in Latin American economies

16. A central question that this report seeks to address is to what extent Latin American economies have shared in the overall trend of shifting wealth and relative growth in equity markets in comparison to developed markets? Therefore, this report takes a close look at a range of data aimed at understanding the relative strengths and weaknesses of Latin American equity market performance. This review of equity markets is then followed by a brief look at some of the main lines of corporate governance trends within the region, to understand how corporate governance frameworks and related policies may be facilitating or constraining equity market and economic growth in the region.

Overall equity market size and growth

17. **Latin America has seen a steady growth in the size of equity markets over the last two decades.** Annex I, Figure 5 provides 5-year averages for Latin American market capitalization as a percentage of gross domestic product (GDP) between 1991-2011. It shows that despite a drop in 2011 across nearly all markets both in Latin America as well as in OECD averages, market capitalization as a whole has risen from an average of 28% of GDP in the late 1990s to 52% in the most recent 5-year period of 2006-2010, before falling to 42% in 2011. This gradual rise in Latin America comes in sharp contrast to the trend in OECD countries, where market capitalization as a percentage of GDP peaked at 100% in the late 1990s and has declined since then to just 75% in 2011. Taken as a whole, **Latin American markets**

remain well below OECD averages but have shown strong rates of growth in market capitalization in comparison to a gradual decline in OECD member averages.

18. Among individual markets, **Chile** has long served as the region's leader in terms of market capitalization as a percentage of GDP, with 109% as of 2011, up from an 82% average in the late 1990s. **Brazil** saw the most dramatic growth in its markets over the last 20 years, moving from an average market capitalization of 19% in the early 1990s, to 69% in the five-year period between 2006-2010. However, as of 2011 that total had dropped to just 50%.

19. **Colombia** has shown the sharpest increase in 5-year averages during the last decade, increasing from an average of 18% of GDP from 2001-2005 to 50% during the following five years, and hitting a high of 60% as of 2011. **Peru** has also seen strong growth, hitting an average high of 65% in the 2006-2010 period, before dropping to 45 percent as of 2011. **Mexico** lags somewhat behind at 35% in 2011, while **Argentina** and **Ecuador** are far behind at 10% and 9% respectively as of 2011.

20. However, by a second measure of equity market health, the number of Latin American domestically listed companies has been declining from more than 2000 in the late 1990s to 1505 as of 2011. [Listed domestic companies, total: 1998-2011, Figure 6].

21. Looking at 5-year averages of the number of listed domestic companies per million inhabitants [Figure 7], the average in OECD member countries has remained fairly steady at about 22 listed companies per million inhabitants, while the average in Latin American countries has been just 4 in the late 1990s, declining to 2.8 during the last decade.

22. **Chile** again is shown as the clear Latin American leader with an average of 13.3 listed companies per million inhabitants at the end of 2011, but still lagging well behind the OECD member average of 21.8. By this measure, Latin America's two largest markets, **Brazil** (1.9) and **Mexico** (1.1), lag well behind the region's leaders, **Chile** and **Peru** (6.9), and even slightly behind **Ecuador** (2.8), which comes in third out of the seven Latin American countries reviewed.

Market concentration and listed company size

23. As the overall numbers of listed companies in proportion to population are so much smaller than in OECD member countries, while market capitalization rates are relatively higher, this could suggest that smaller companies are not taking advantage of the opportunities provided by equity markets, and that Latin America's largest companies are dominating and driving the growth in equity markets.

24. Indeed, a closer look at the data shows that overall numbers are driven by a relatively small number of quite large companies. This is confirmed by market concentration data showing the share of market capitalization of the 10 largest firms as a percentage of total market capitalization across six Latin American countries [Figure 8]. **Colombia** leads the region in market concentration by this measure, with its 10 largest firms representing 79% of market capitalization in 2010. **Chile**, with 47% market capitalization among its top 10 firms, is the least concentrated market in the region, but still well above the level of the New York Stock Exchange Euronext, where the figure was 19% in 2010.

25. **Colombia** led all countries in the region with the largest average market capitalization per company of USD 5.9 billion [Figure 9]. Overall, tracking by the Economatca database² shows Latin

² Economatca is a comprehensive database offering information on companies listed on the exchanges of Brazil, Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Peru, Colombia and Venezuela, as well as the largest 1,000 U.S. companies. It has been widely used as a major source of academic research in the region. By the time of the data collection, Economatca provided historical information on 1,700 Latin American companies, 1,068 of

American listed companies' market capitalization has increased substantially since 1995, from USD 457 million in 1995 to USD 3.1 billion by the end of 2012. This growth has skyrocketed especially in the past 10 years: the average market value per listed company has increased eight fold since 2002. On the other hand, the high market capitalization levels of the largest companies are driving the averages substantially higher than the median market capitalization value of USD 465.6 million. **Colombia** is the regional leader both in terms of average and median market capitalization levels, followed by **Mexico** and **Brazil**, while **Peru** and **Argentina** show much lower median levels of USD 149.6 million and 87.1 million respectively

Market Liquidity

26. Within the context of concentrated ownership, one of the key issues for minority shareholders is how liquid are the shares. While Latin American countries lag far behind OECD average measures of market liquidity, **Brazil** and **Mexico** fare much better under these measures than they do on market capitalization and listed company measures cited above. **Brazil's** trading volume of 38.8% of GDP [Stocks traded as a total value (% of GDP) from 1988-2011" [Figure 10] is almost double that of its closest competitor, **Chile** at 22.9%, followed by **Mexico** at 9.7%. However, no Latin American country comes close to the OECD member average of 112.5%. Measures of turnover ratio ["Stocks traded, turnover ratio (%): 1989-2011" [Figure 11] also show **Brazil** far ahead of other Latin American countries, at 69.3% in 2011 compared to its closest competitor, **Mexico**, at 26.0%. Again, all Latin American countries fall far short of the OECD average turnover ratio of 143.4% in 2011.

27. At the same time, these charts show that trading volume and turnover ratios increased most sharply in the 2005-2008 period, especially in the OECD average but also in Latin American countries. Following the global financial crisis of 2007-2008, the OECD member country averages have dropped equally sharply, while Latin American country declines have been much smaller, signifying a **relative gain versus OECD countries among Latin American markets in terms of market liquidity over the last few years.**

28. According to analysis of the Economatica database, free float levels (estimated using share ownership data on the percentage of all outstanding shares held by shareholders referred to by companies as "others") have stayed at about the same level over the past decade for Latin American listed companies, averaging between 21% and 23% since 2003, after descending from a high of 27% in 1998². Argentina and Brazil had the highest free float levels of 28% and 29% respectively, while Chile and Peru had much lower average levels of 16% as of 2012 [Figure 12].

Latin American corporate financing trends: IPOs, ADRs and bonds

29. Another key indicator of Latin American equity market health is the extent to which domestic markets have been used to raise new capital through Initial Public Offerings (IPOs), versus the extent to which corporations are listed abroad, most often through American Depository Receipts (ADRs). Overall, these data show a steady increase in IPOs between 2003 and the peak of 81 IPOs in 2007, driven by Brazil's IPO boom. This was followed by a sharp drop in the financial crisis year of 2008, and a steady but more modest total of between 18 and 26 IPOs per year since then [Table 1].

them active on the stock markets. The coverage of the system, however, is not uniform across countries depending on the variable under analysis. For variables dealing with ownership structure, for example data are not available for Mexico and it is only partially available (for a reduced number of companies) for Argentina and Colombia.

Table 1. Number of IPO in Latin America from 2003 to 2012 by country and by year

| Country | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Number of companies |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|
| Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| Brazil | 0 | 7 | 9 | 26 | 64 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 143 |
| Chile | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 27 |
| Colombia | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 34 |
| Mexico | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| Peru | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Latin America | 3 | 12 | 24 | 38 | 81 | 13 | 20 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 256 |

Source: Federación Iberoamericana de Bolsas (FIAB) and its member exchanges

30. **Brazil** is clearly the Latin American leader, having accounted for 56% of the region's IPOs over the last decade, raising more than USD60 billion between 2002 to 2012 through IPOs [Figure 13], which have generally been listed on the special corporate governance listing tiers known as the Novo Mercado, Level 1 and Level 2 listing segments. **Mexico** is a distant second with slightly more than USD 8 billion in IPO equity, followed by **Chile** with a little over USD 2 billion. All other Latin American countries have raised less than USD 1 billion.

31. Capital raised through both IPOs plus follow-up secondary offerings raises the totals substantially to more than USD 230 billion for **Brazil**, USD50 billion for **Mexico** and close to USD30 billion for **Chile**, followed by much smaller amounts for **Argentina**, **Peru** and **Colombia** [Figure 14].

32. It is worth noting the important role played by corporate bond issuances as an alternative vehicle for addressing companies' financing needs, with the contrast most evident in certain markets where IPOs and secondary offerings have not played a significant role. This is notably the case in Argentina, where bond proceeds between 2004 and 2012 exceeded USD 40 billion, approximately 10 times greater than the amount raised through IPOs and secondary offers during the same period [Figure 15]. Bond proceeds also exceeded equity capital raised in **Chile**, **Colombia**, **Mexico** and **Peru**. **Brazil** is the only country among the six reviewed in which capital raised from IPO and secondary follow-up offerings exceeded corporate bond proceeds, although in the last two years, corporate bond proceeds have significantly exceeded the amount of capital raised on the equity markets also in Brazil.

33. In contrast to the 256 IPOs issued in Latin American domestic markets during the period 2003-2012, data gathered from the Economatica database on cross-listings in the US market between 2003-2012 show a relatively small number of 22 companies issuing Level 2 or Level 3 ADRs (those with the most stringent requirements) [See Table 2]. This total of 22 is quite small in comparison to the total number of 129 cross-listed Latin American firms that had ADRs in place prior to 2003 (57 of which are still active, while 72 have since been cancelled). This may suggest that Latin American companies are increasingly willing to use domestic equity markets as a source for capital relative to listing abroad.

Table 2. Number of Latin America companies that have cross-listed in the US market from 2003 to 2012 by country and by year

| Country | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Total |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Brazil | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Chile | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Colombia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mexico | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Peru | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Latin America | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 |

Notes: Calculations based on the Economatica database initial list of 172 companies with ADRs. We then filtered for the companies whose ADRs started to be traded from 2003. This filter reduced the sample to 38 observations. Three companies (Petrobras, Telmex and Ecopetrol) had more than one ADR appearing on the Economatica database. We deleted these duplicated shares, reducing the sample to 35 companies. We then compared our list with the list of ADR companies available at the website <http://www.adrbnymellon.com/> as well as on the website of each company to verify whether they indeed have issued their ADRs for the first time in the 2003-2012 period. We deleted 13 additional companies as a result of this further investigation due to the following reasons: i) Listing on the over-the-counter market: Banco Patagonia and TGLT; ii) direct listing on the U.S. market (without ADR program): Mercado Libre, Adecoagro and Arco Dorados; and, iii) First ADR program (Level 2 or 3) before 2003: BRF Foods, Petrobras, Embraer, Cemig, Fibria, Bradesco, Lan Chile and Bancolombia. Our final sample therefore contains 22 Latin American companies that have cross-listed their shares in the 2003-2012 period.

34. In the case of **Brazil**, it is interesting to note that the percentage of firms listed both at BM&FBOVESPA and on the New York Stock Exchange with ADR programmes at Level 2 or 3 has dropped from 14.3% in 2007 to 10.6% in 2011. During this same period, the percentage of BM&FBOVESPA firms listed on the Novo Mercado has risen from 30% in 2007 to 43% in 2011, suggesting that Novo Mercado may be acting as a substitute mechanism for Brazilian companies to signal better investor protection [Figure 16].

Overall trends in stock market growth and company value

35. Latin America has seen repeated sharp increases in the average annual growth of stock returns during the last decade, following a relatively flat or negative period of growth between 1995-2002, according to the Economatica database tracking of share values plus dividends during this period [Average Stock Returns, Figure 17]. Overall cumulative growth has increased by 1,194% between 1995 and 2012, in comparison to just 234% for the FTSE index of Europe's 300 largest companies during the same period. Peru recorded the highest cumulative growth of 2,728%, followed by Brazil at 1,519% [Cumulative Average Stock Returns of Latin America Listed Companies: 1994-2012, Figure 18].

36. The rise in stock returns has at least partially been influenced by a fourfold growth in revenues in US dollars throughout the past decade. The average annual revenues of Latin America listed companies have grown from USD 405 million (USD 67 million median) in 2002 to USD 1.6 billion (USD 266 million median) at the end of 2011.

37. By another measure, average price to earnings ratios, **Mexican** companies appear to have the highest values with a P/E ratio of 17.6, followed by **Peru** at 14.9. By this measure, **Brazil** at 10.6 is below the Latin American average of 11.0, and **Argentina** is far behind at 6.7. Like the overall growth of share values, price-to-earnings ratios have been generally increasing since a low point in 2002 and a second drop in 2008. [Figure 19].

5. Latin American corporate ownership and control structures

38. Latin American markets are known for having concentrated ownership, with companies generally controlled by a family, controlling owner or group of controlling owners. This is the prevailing model of ownership in most countries of the world, with the exception of the United States, United Kingdom, Ireland and Australia. Within continental Europe, some markets such as the Netherlands also are more dispersed than others, but companies with a controlling owner remain the prevailing model.

39. One question sometimes raised is whether, as markets mature and corporate governance improves, there may be a tendency to move towards more dispersed ownership. Ownership data suggest that apart from some movement in this direction among a small minority of Brazilian companies, Latin American markets remain highly concentrated and in some cases, even more so than a decade ago.

40. An analysis of Economatica data of Latin American listed companies in five countries between 1998 and 2012 found that at the end of 2012, the largest shareholder of listed companies in the region held an average of 57% of the company's voting shares, essentially the same as the 56% average recorded in 1998. Among individual countries, Brazil saw a decline from a 61% average in 1998 to 54% in 2012, and Peru's ownership concentration increased from 58% to 67% during the same period [Figure 20].

41. The five largest shareholders' proportion of voting shares in Latin American listed companies totalled 80% on average at the end of 2012, a slight drop from 82% in 1998. While the percentages remained fairly stable in most cases over the last 15 years, Peru experienced an increase in concentration among the five largest shareholders from 83% in 1998 to 92% in 2012. This figure decreased in Brazil from 86% in 1998 to 77% in 2012 [Figure 21].

42. Companies without controlling shareholders exerted either by a single person or by a block of shareholders are still the exception. Only 9.3% of listed companies in the region have less than 50% of voting shares among their five largest shareholders. Brazil and Chile have the least concentrated ownership in the region, with the controlling shareholder in Brazil averaging a 45% share of all outstanding shares in 2012, a 5% drop from 2002. This figure is well below the 54% average control of voting shares in Brazil, indicating that the use of non-voting shares in Brazil remains significant. Chile also experienced a 5% decline in average percentage of outstanding shares to 47.5% at the end of 2012, similar to the average percentage of voting shares in Chile, as only a small minority of Chilean companies use non-voting shares.

43. Brazilian companies have considerable diversity in terms of the type of their ownership structure. In addition to the traditional presence of family, state or foreign-control, two other forms of shareholding structure have gained relevance in the past 15 years. Shared control, in which the control is exerted by a pool of shareholders (usually two to four) acting in concert; and, widely-held companies, characterized by a dispersed shareholding structure in which the largest shareholder usually holds less than 10% of the shares. While the former has gained relevance mainly due to the privatization process that took place at the end of the 90's, the latter has started to flourish following the rapid growth of IPOs in the Brazilian stock markets initiated in 2004. Currently, a relevant fraction of about 40% of Brazilian listed companies are classified as under shared control. Although widely-held firms remain few in number, their consistent increase from 0% before 2005 to 4.2% in 2007 to 6.8% in 2011 may signal a clear shift in the pattern of ownership structure of a relevant fraction of Brazilian listed companies in the future.

The key role of Corporate Groups

44. Fernando Lefort, Dean of the Diego Portales University Business School in Chile, provided an excellent summary of the role played by corporate groups in Latin American economies as an annex to the

Roundtable's "White Paper on Corporate Governance in Latin America" (2003). While noting some difficulties in collecting good data on the size and structure of conglomerates across most Latin American countries, Lefort nevertheless concludes that direct or indirect control by one of the numerous industrial, financial and mixed conglomerates is the predominant corporate structure in Latin American economies. A conglomerate is a group of firms linked to each other through ownership relations and controlled by a local family, a group of investors acting in concert or, by a foreign company. In Latin America, conglomerates are usually controlled by the dominant shareholders through relatively complex structures including the use of pyramids, cross-holdings and dual class shares, and often involve quite diversified sets of companies. In the 2000s, over 90% of 33 of the largest groups in Latin America were family owned and managed.³

45. The structure varies by country. In **Chile**, one study from the year 2000 found that 68% of listed, non-financial firms were controlled by one of approximately 50 non-financial conglomerates, representing 91% of the assets of non-financial companies listed in Chilean stock markets. About half of these conglomerates were foreign-owned.⁴ More recent data showed that 71.6% of listed firms in Chile were held by other listed firms from 2004-2008. Bank ownership plays a relatively small role in Chile due to restrictions imposed in 1982 on bank ownership of shares following the debt crisis (3.2% on average). Foreign investors directly own 17.4% of shares, with foreign multinationals also making use of pyramidal structures (but cross-shareholdings are prohibited by law). In addition, international banks indirectly held shares through pension funds and insurance business.⁵

46. In **Argentina**, a 2005 study⁶ found that of 54 of the largest listed companies, 20 were linked to conglomerates structured around pyramidal ownership structures. Lefort found that of 24 Argentinean companies that have listed ADRs, 93% had affiliation to groups through pyramids. In these companies, the controlling group had rights, directly or indirectly, over 68% of firms' cash flows.⁷ These studies found relatively little use of non-voting shares by groups to further separate ownership from control rights. Bebczuk found that while cash flow concentration was around 57%, voting rights concentration on average reached 63%.

47. In **Brazil**, by contrast, there has been larger use of non-voting shares to enhance control beyond levels of share ownership. However, this is not the case for the growing number of companies listed on the Novo Mercado, which must subscribe to one-share one-vote requirements. Aguilera et al conclude that industrial firms were the dominant shareholders in Brazil, holding 40.1% of all shares on the market from 2004-2008, and using non-voting shares and pyramidal arrangements to allow industrial groups to finance their projects. Banks were the second largest shareholders with an average of 30%, during the same period.

48. Data available for **Mexico** is less recent, but available research also concludes that conglomerates are the most common corporate structure, holding on average, 65.5% of listed company shares. Separation of ownership and control is achieved through pyramids and use of dual class shares (Lefort, 2005).

³ Schneider, 2008, "Economic Liberalization and Corporate Governance: the Resilience of Business Groups in Latin America," *Comparative Politics* (40(4 July):379-98).

⁴ See Lefort and Walker (2000), "Ownership and Capital Structure of Chilean Conglomerates: Facts and Hypothesis in Chile." *ABANTE*, Vol. 3, No. 1, April.

⁵ See "Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets," by Aguilera, R et al, 2011

⁶ Bebczuk, R. (2005), "Corporate Governance and Ownership: Measurement and Impact on Corporate Performance and Dividend Policy in Argentina," IDB Draft Paper.

⁷ See Lefort, (2005), "Ownership Structure and Corporate Governance in Latin America, *Revista ABANTE*, Vol. 8, No.1, pp. 55-84, April.

49. In **Colombia**, one study of 233 listed and non-listed companies found that 116, approximately half, are affiliated with groups. The study cites three of the largest conglomerates, known as Sindicato Antioqueño, the Santodomingo group and the Ardila group, as making use of extensive cross-shareholdings or pyramids to maintain control of 59 out of the 116 affiliated corporations in their sample covering 1996-2002.⁸ The largest, Sindicato Antioqueño, is said to be affiliated with around 100 listed and non-listed firms, including many that were not in the reviewed sample.

50. The predominance of conglomerates across the larger economies in the region has important implications for corporate governance and capital markets. These groups may have greater flexibility to manage financing and investment needs among different members of the group than non-affiliated companies. However, when such groups involve differentiated levels of ownership among the different companies within the group (in other words, they are not 100%-owned subsidiaries), this may provide opportunities for abusive related party transactions or other measures that shift funds from one company to another in favour of the controlling owner's more concentrated holdings. When some of the companies in the group are listed and some non-listed, this may also increase the risk of transactions involving non-listed affiliates that face lower disclosure requirements.

51. The question also arises regarding the fiduciary duties of board members of companies within the group, as to whether the controlling owner has appointed them to represent the interests of the group as a whole or the company on whose board they serve. The question of conflicts of interest may also arise when board members serving on multiple boards within the same group must consider transactions involving two or more of the companies for which they are board members.

52. Regulators in the region have tended to try to address these issues by developing an informed understanding of the group structures within their markets, to understand who are the beneficial owners of different companies in order to verify whether they are correctly following disclosure and other regulatory requirements related to the approval of related party transactions.

Institutional Investors

53. The 2011 Roundtable report, "Strengthening Latin American Corporate Governance: the Role of Institutional Investors" noted the particularly important role played by privately managed pension funds in most Latin American markets. [Figure 22: Assets managed by PFAs and mutual funds.] According to data in the report, Brazil is the only country where total assets by mutual funds are substantially higher than pension funds. In Argentina (where privately managed pension funds were transferred to state control in 2008), Chile and Peru, pension funds have much higher levels than mutual funds, while in Colombia and Mexico the amount managed under pension funds is similar to that of mutual funds.

54. The Roundtable report on institutional investors did not include data on investments in equity of insurance or private equity, finding that these types of funds were relatively small in Latin American markets. Most recent data available from the Latin American Venture Capital Association suggests that private equity and venture capital investments in the region are continuing to grow, rising by 21% to a total of USD 7.9 billion committed in 2012⁹. **The amounts of private equity and venture capital appear to be increasingly significant but remain relatively small in comparison to the USD 28.5 billion raised through IPO and secondary offerings in the six largest Latin American economies in 2012**, and more than USD 60 billion raised through corporate bond issuances during the same period.

⁸ See Gutierrez, L., Pombo, C et al, (2005) "Ownership and Control in Colombian Corporations"

⁹ See <http://lavca.org/2013/04/05/2013-industry-data-analysis/>.

55. About half of the Brazilian listed companies analysed (which represent around 99% of BM&F BOVESPA’s market capitalization at the end of each year) have an institutional investor acting as a relevant shareholder (Institutional investors are characterized as relevant shareholders if they hold more than 5% of the voting shares or own more than 10% of the total outstanding shares). The ratio of companies with investment funds or private equity funds as a relevant shareholder has increased from 32.8% to 39.4% in four years, indicating **a potentially increasing role for investment funds and private equity on boards of directors and on the strategic decisions of these companies.**

State ownership

56. The state plays an important role in the ownership of listed companies in several Latin American countries. In Argentina, it was reported at the Roundtable’s Related Party Transactions Task Force meeting in 2012 that the Argentine Social Security Administration (Administración Nacional de la Seguridad Social – ANSES) held positions ranging from 0.14% to 40% in 44 of the 50 largest firms by market capitalization. Prior to 2011, pension funds were prohibited from voting more than 5% of the shares of a public company. This restriction was lifted by decree in 2011. ANSES’s legal department is charged with overseeing the participation of the institution at AGMs and nominating directors where its holdings are sufficient to elect them. ANSES-nominated directors are classified as independent.¹⁰ The Argentinean government’s response to an OECD survey for the Latin American SOE Network meeting of 2012 reported that Argentina has state ownership of at least 10 percent in 17 listed companies.

57. The OECD’s report surveying seven Latin American countries found that listed companies with state ownership (defined as companies with at least 10% state ownership) are relatively rare in the region overall, but that the small number masks their greater overall impact on the capital markets, since often the companies with state ownership are some of the largest in the market. For example, in Brazil SOEs accounted for approximately 25% of total market capitalization on the BM&F BOVESPA exchange in 2012. Petrobras alone makes up 17% while three companies (Petrobras along with Banco do Brasil and Electrobras) make up 21%. In Colombia only three SOEs are listed. However, these three (Ecopetrol, ISAGEN and ISA) constitute 52% of the Colombia Stock Exchange market capitalization and 70% of the total value of SOEs.¹¹

Table 3. Ownership structure of SOEs in some of the largest Latin American Economies

| Country | SOEs | Wholly owned | Partially owned | Listed |
|-----------|------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Argentina | 112 | 23 (21%) | 89 (79%) | 19 (17%) |
| Brazil | 147 | 38 (26%) | 109 (74%) | 8 (5%) ¹ |
| Chile | 33 | 30 (91%) | 3 (8%) | 3 (8%) |
| Colombia | 105 | 18 (17%) | 87 (83%) | 3 (3%) |
| Ecuador | 24 | 21 (88%) | 3 (12%) | 0 (0%) |
| Mexico | 110 | NA | NA | 0 (0%) |
| Peru | 31 | 23 (74%) ² | 8 (26%) ² | 9 (29%) ² |
| Total | 562 | 153 (34%) ³ | 299 (66%) ³ | 40 (7%) |

Notes: Based upon OECD, Regional Trends: Ownership Oversight and Board Practices of Latin American State-Owned Enterprises (2012). Countries are ordered alphabetically. Countries in order of PPP-adjusted GDP in 2011: 1) Brazil; 2) Mexico; 3) Argentina; 4)

¹⁰ See OECD (2012), Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable Task Force Report on Related Party Transactions, Chapter 2 on Argentina.

¹¹ OECD (2012), Ownership Oversight and Board Practices for Latin American State-Owned Enterprises.

Colombia; 5) Peru; 6) Chile; and 7) Ecuador. Survey data was not available from Venezuela that would normally rank 4 in PPP adjusted GDP.

1 Figure includes only federal SOEs. Individual Brazilian states also have 17 listed enterprises.

2 Peru figures only refer to SOEs overseen by FONAFE and do not include SOEs overseen by the Ministry of Finance, most of which are municipally-owned enterprises. Normally, listed companies are assumed to be partially owned. However, in the case of Electro Peru, Egesur and Sedapal, the SOEs are listed, but with 100% state ownership.

3 Percentage figures for wholly and partially owned SOEs do not include Mexico. The Brazilian government's official definition of state-owned enterprises only includes enterprises with 50% or greater state ownership, and only considers ownership at the federal rather than state level.


58. An OECD review currently under way on the size and composition of listed enterprises with state ownership in both OECD and partner countries finds that among OECD members, 26 out of 34 countries have listed enterprises with state ownership. In most of these countries, the state generally holds a minority stake in its listed companies. On the other hand, its review of listed SOEs in eight emerging economies (Brazil, Colombia, China, India, Indonesia, Lithuania, the Russian Federation and South Africa), finds that the dominant tendency among these countries was to maintain controlling ownership.

6. Market structure and trading practice influence on the incentives of different market actors

59. The OECD's current initiative on Value Creation, Corporate Governance and Growth suggests that there have been fundamental transformations in the structure of equity markets over the last decade, with important implications for corporate governance. It concludes that trade practices have become more sophisticated, markets have become fragmented and new, equity-based instruments have increased in importance. This section excerpts the findings of the OECD report, "Corporate Governance in Current Equity Markets" (Isaksson and Celik, 2013), and seeks to examine to what extent these trends can also be found in Latin American markets.

60. The OECD review found that even if we limit ourselves to data from the "traditional" stock exchanges, trading in equity has increased much faster than the supply of new equity capital through initial and secondary public offerings. Particularly during the pre-crisis period, between 2004 and 2007, the increase in trade volume was three times the increase in primary market volume.

Figure 3. Trade increased faster than the supply of new equity



Source: OECD, based on data from Thomson Reuters, DataStream, stock exchanges' and companies' websites. Trade volume data from World Federation of Exchanges

61. Together with the decline in IPO activities in OECD economies, major developments discussed in the sections below include fragmentation in equity markets, emergence of new investment techniques such as high frequency trading and the rise of exchange traded funds.

Fragmentation in equity markets

62. For a long time, services of stock exchanges were seen as similar to public utilities and often protected by a legal monopoly status which prevented the emergence of competitors (Di Noia, 1998). However, the integration of financial markets accelerated by technological advancements made it increasingly difficult for traditional stock exchanges to perform this important and “straightforward” function.¹² Like in many other industries, technological advancements also streamlined the quality of services in terms of market infrastructure. For instance, at the beginning of the competition era, nearly all European stock exchanges were using the same trading mechanism (Steil, 1996 cited in Di Noia, 1998).

63. The first demutualisation of the Stockholm Stock Exchange has been followed by an international trend towards demutualisation with incorporated exchanges being listed on their own markets. During this process, the stock exchange industry has also experienced a considerable degree of consolidation both at national and international level, such as the merger of NYSE and Euronext in 2006, Nasdaq’s acquisition of the OMX and the London Stock Exchange’s merger with Borsa Italiana in 2007 (Christiansen and Koldertsova, 2009).

64. While the “registered” stock exchange industry has experienced consolidation, the “dark” part of the equity market has moved in the opposite direction. The result is that equity markets today are highly fragmented into traditional organised stock exchanges and non-exchange trading venues, such as alternative trading venues (ATS) in the US and Canada, multilateral trading facilities (MTFs) in Europe and broker networks (IOSCO, 2011a).

Table 4. Estimated share of trade volume in the US (% , September 2009)

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Registered Exchanges | 63.8 |
| Electronic Communication Networks | 10.8 |
| Total Displayed Trading | 74.6 |
| Dark Pools (32) | 7.9 |
| Broker-Dealer Internalisation (>200) | 17.5 |
| Total Undisplayed Trading | 25.4 |

Source: The US SEC, Concept Release on Equity Market Structure, 2010.

65. The fragmentation is not only between traditional stock exchanges and new venues for trading, but also between so-called dark and lit markets. Table 4 indicates that in September 2009, 74.6% of US trade was executed in the registered exchanges (NYSE, NASDAQ and others) and in 5 Electronic Communication Networks. The remaining 25.4% was traded in 32 different Dark Pools and in more than 200 different Broker-Dealer Networks that do not display “best-price orders” (SEC, 2010a). Although the use of dark pools has not reached the same levels in Japan, there is still an upward trend and a study by IOSCO shows that during the last week of 2010 no less than 9.2% of total trade by value in Japan was executed in dark pools (IOSCO, 2011b).

¹² Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the US defines an exchange as “...which constitutes, maintains, or provides a market place or facilities for bringing together purchasers and sellers of securities...”

66. These trends appear to be far less pronounced in Latin American markets, according to discussions involving FIAB and its member exchanges. BM&F BOVESPA has no competition within Brazil for the trading of stocks. Likewise, Colombia, Mexico and Peru have only one trading venue. The vast majority of trading in Chile occurs at the Santiago Stock Exchange, although some increase in trading has been reported in its competing Electronic Stock Exchange. Argentina has eight exchanges and its regulator, CNV, has expressed a concern about the fragmentation of the market, but has also proposed to integrate the exchanges into a single trading system (discussed further below under country developments). Ecuador has two competing exchanges, in Guayaquil and Quito.

67. A key development among stock exchanges has been the move by Chile, Colombia and Peru to establish an integrated stock market known as the Mercado Integrado Latinoamericano (MILA). The integration aims to develop the capital market through the integration of the three countries, to give investors a greater supply of securities, issuers and also larger sources of funding. Mexico's stock exchange has not yet been integrated into MILA but has signed an agreement to undertake viability studies for its inclusion. Shareholders wishing to invest in the MILA must do so through registered brokers, giving them access to the common trading platform for buying and selling stocks in any of the three countries. Some discussions have also taken place with the objective to achieve similar integration of trading among Central American stock exchanges.

68. However, if anything, the establishment of the MILA and the efforts to consolidate and integrate the number of trading platforms in Argentina suggest that Latin America has moved more in the direction of harmonization and integration than in the global trend towards greater fragmentation of markets. No use of "dark pools" was reported in the region, and share trading and pricing appear to be fully transparent.

High frequency trading

69. One of the most important changes in trading practices over the last decade is the dominance of algorithmic trading, which means that orders are executed by computer based systems according to a pre-designed set of rules and procedures. The characteristics of algorithmic trading is defined very broadly from agency activities (on behalf of clients) to proprietary trading (with own money), aggressive strategies (liquidity-consuming) to passive strategies (liquidity-supplying) and informed (try to predict very short-term returns) to uninformed (not trying to rebalance portfolios based on very short-term variation in returns) traders (Friederich and Payne, 2011). However, the current public discussion focuses primarily on one particular type of algorithmic trading, namely so-called high frequency trading (HFT), which also represents the largest and increasing share in trade volumes in some OECD markets.

70. Although there is no commonly accepted definition, the main features of HFT can be identified as proprietary trading, using extraordinarily high-speed computers with sophisticated software, applying co-location services and the use of individual data feeds that are offered for a fee by stock exchanges. High frequency trading is also characterised by very short time frames for transactions, cancellation of orders shortly after the submission and ending the trading day with a maximum flat position (SEC, 2010a). In 2009, HFT accounted for nearly 60% of the total trading volume in US equity market (Lash and Spicer, 2009). In Europe it represented some 38% of total trade volume in 2010 with an upward trend (IOSCO, 2011a).

71. From a corporate governance perspective it is important to note that HFT is more than a technological advancement allowing high-speed computer trading. It can also be seen as an investment strategy with a very short-term focus. The ambition is not to assess and trade on genuine information concerning the long-term performance of any individual company. Rather, the strategy is heavily based on

short-term arbitrage opportunities that are often obtained by unique and fast access to trading information.¹³

72. Technological advancements that make it possible to develop and adopt sophisticated and fast computerised trading practices were the critical factor behind the rise of the HFT. Nevertheless, changes in the regulatory framework, trading rules and practices have also contributed to this rapid transformation. This includes regulatory reforms, such as NMS in the United States, MiFID in Europe and Marketplace Rules in Canada, that aimed at promoting competition in trading services (IOSCO, 2011a), decreasing the tick sizes¹⁴ that makes it easier for investors to engage in speculative activity (Grant Thornton, 2010) and the possibility of co-locating the computer servers of trading firms within the stock exchanges to gain faster access.

73. The OECD's report on current equity markets notes the concerns that are being raised by regulators and other market observers regarding the influence of high-frequency trading on equity markets, including their ability to efficiently allocate equity capital, as well as on corporate governance. Existing models of corporate governance call upon shareholders to actively monitor the companies in which they invest, and to positively influence their corporate governance practices. The model depends on having at least some active investors – both controlling and minority shareholders -- to take a long-term interest in the company. As high-frequency trading grows, there is a fear that price discovery will be increasingly dominated by consideration of market trading data alone, rather than of underlying research and analysis on corporate fundamentals and corporate governance practices which may impact on such fundamentals. In addition, concerns have been raised that high frequency traders pay less attention to small and growing firms and that they instead focus their trading on the liquid shares of large corporations. This in turn can impact on the willingness of new companies to enter public equity markets.

74. However, high-frequency trading reportedly has not yet reached a volume in Latin American markets to have raised a concern among exchanges and regulators in the region. To the contrary, the New York Times reported that in Brazil – as well as in other developing economies like Chile and Mexico -- “exchanges are actively courting high-speed traders without much resistance from their regulators.”¹⁵ The article reported that high-frequency-trading represented about 10.6% of all trades this year in Brazil, up from 8.5% in 2012, but still only a fraction of levels found in the US, where it represents a majority of the trading, and in Europe, with about 45% of the trading, according to Celent, a research and consulting firm. Stock exchanges have seen such trading as a means to increase the volume of trade and market liquidity, also raising revenues through increased transactions. BM&F Bovespa established a data center in 2009 that allowed firms to co-locate within a few feet of the exchange's server, cutting down delays associated with data travelling through fiber optic cables. Cicero Augusto Vieira Neto, BM&F Bovespa's chief operations officer, suggested in the article that the fact that Brazil has a single trading environment (as opposed to the multiple exchanges and dark pools in US and European markets), meant that computerized trading firms had fewer opportunities for arbitrage, which should keep HFT volumes lower in Brazil.

75. While the predominant perception appears to be that Latin American markets have not reached a stage where trading volume is high enough to be significantly influenced by the HFT trends affecting more developed markets, it will be important to monitor data on such trading and average holding periods to

¹³ Beside general characteristics, HFT covers a wide number of financial strategies with different market impacts; such as market making strategies, arbitrage strategies and directional strategies (IOSCO, 2011a)

¹⁴ The smallest increment for a stock price movement.

¹⁵ Dan Horch and Nathaniel Popper, “As others hesitate, Brazil welcomes high-speed trading,” *New York Times*, 24 May, 2013.

understand how incentives may be evolving for shareholders to consider the long-term interests of Latin American companies.


Exchange traded funds

76. The rise of intermediary ownership has for a long time been coupled with the rise of passive investment strategies that are based on a closely pre-defined set of criteria. The most obvious example is various forms of index tracking, which has become an important “strategy” for a broad spectrum of investors (Rey and Seiler, 2001). Already in the beginning of the 1990s many pension funds allocated more than half of their investments in equities to indexes. Two important factors driving this development were first of all that passive investment strategies (indexing) helped investors to dispose of heavy brokerage commissions and advisory fees, and secondly that active institutional investors were unsuccessful in beating the market averages over time (Lowenstein, 1991).

77. In the mid-1990s, the use of indexing was taken to yet another level by the development of exchange traded funds (ETFs). Since then, ETFs have emerged as alternative investment vehicles for both passive and active investment strategies. ETFs share the common characteristics of mutual funds but are also tradable like shares on exchanges (Ramaswamy, 2011). As a result, investing in ETFs makes it possible to both decrease the transaction costs and diversify the portfolio for passive investors, and at the same time follow an active strategy for holding and trading different ETFs. As seen from Figure 4, the total market value of assets under ETFs has grown dramatically during the last decade and after a slight decrease in 2008 during the global financial crisis, it reached USD 1,351 billion in 2011.

78. Similar concerns have been raised about the growth in trading of indexed funds, as it reduces the incentives for shareholders of such funds to intervene in individual companies, since they represent only a small fraction of the overall investment. As ETFs are also generally created for the most actively traded companies in the market, they may also exacerbate the liquidity problem for smaller and less actively traded companies.

Figure 4. Global ETF assets



Source: BlackRock

79. Again, in Latin America, the trading in ETFs is lagging behind developed market trends, but is nevertheless moving in the same direction, according to data from the World Federation of Exchanges. While the NYSE Euronext reported an increase from 7 to 1370 listed ETFs between 2003 and 2012, Brazil’s totals during the same period rose from just 4 in the early part of the decade to 15 in 2012. Colombia had just one listed ETF in 2012 and Lima had 8. Chile and Mexico have experienced more

substantial growth in the number of listed ETFs, with Chile reporting 105 and Mexico 455 as of 2012. Data on overall ETF trading volumes for the region were not available.

Impacts on incentives

80. The trends mentioned above are currently under review by the OECD because of the risks that they present to the efficient functioning of equity markets, which are considered an important vehicle for the financing of company investment needs and economic growth. Market fragmentation, high-frequency trading and indexed trading all have in common that they make participation in the market increasingly complex, and the traditional corporate governance notion that shareholders should act as responsible owners in a chain that leads to efficient allocation of market capital increasingly difficult or unlikely to be achieved in practice.

81. On the other hand, Latin American markets do have certain characteristics that may at least partially mitigate some of the negative impacts of such trends:

- The lower levels of trading and free float in Latin American markets and the dominance in most of these markets of a single exchange has left the region less vulnerable to the establishment of “dark pools,” in which shares are traded on alternative platforms to exchanges without transparent disclosure of transaction prices. They do not appear to have emerged in the Latin American context, and at least in the case of Brazil, they are reportedly not permitted.
- The concern that high-frequency trading and shorter-term holdings will increase the pressure on companies to consider short-term profits at the expense of longer-term interests may be counter-balanced by the prevalence of family-owned firms and other controlling shareholders in the region who may have stronger incentives to promote the long-term value of the company. However, this does not address the issue of minority shareholder incentives to consider long-term value.
- Pension funds, private equity investors and other institutional investors who continue to see value in good corporate governance may have a stronger relative influence in the less liquid markets of Latin America than their counterparts in more developed countries. While the actual record of institutional investor influence on corporate governance in Latin America remains a mixed one, there has nevertheless been some positive experience to report, discussed in the next section of this report.

82. Despite these mitigating factors in the Latin American context, there remains reason for concern regarding the growth of indexed trading (ETFs) and high-frequency trading in the region. While their use remains well below the levels of OECD’s most developed markets, they nevertheless appear to have also taken root in some Latin American markets, and present similar risks of further concentrating trading in the markets’ most liquid shares, and further weakening the incentives of shareholders, including institutional investors, to intervene at the individual company level.

7. Overall corporate governance trends in Latin America

83. As the data and developments described in this report indicate, Latin American equity market experience has been both positive and at the same time fallen short of its potential. Companies listed on Latin American markets have seen strong growth in revenues, share values, stock returns and market capitalization. And while Latin American market capitalization as a percentage of GDP is still lagging behind OECD averages (except in Chile), its markets have grown at a much faster rate than in the world’s more developed economies.

84. On the other hand, the access to equity finance that the markets provide has not been shared widely, with liquidity as reflected in trading volumes remaining quite low and creating higher risks of share price volatility, particularly among smaller companies on the market with low levels of free float. Within this environment, the number of listed companies as a percentage of the population has been declining across the region and remains far, far below OECD member country averages.

85. Despite the challenges presented by low liquidity, the overall growth trends have been positive in most Latin American countries. There is no doubt that this growth is in part a result of the broader regional economic trends and growth of emerging markets as a whole. But to the extent that capital market growth has helped to play a role in the broader economic growth of the region, the fundamental question for the Latin American Roundtable is to what extent corporate governance improvements in the region may have influenced these trends, or have the potential through further actions to do so? It would be overly ambitious and realistically not possible to quantify the impacts of different actions in different countries and the impacts that these have had on the markets, but nevertheless it can be useful to briefly review the record and experience, country by country, before reaching overall conclusions and questions for the Roundtable's further consideration.

86. The summaries provided below should not be seen as systematic or comprehensive, as some countries have been more active than others in reporting to the OECD Secretariat on recent corporate governance developments. This summary takes into account information obtained in previous Roundtable reports on corporate governance progress, the role of institutional investors, board nomination and effectiveness, use of corporate governance codes in the region, and on frameworks for review of related party transactions. Additional information was obtained through e-mail communications, web site research and press reports. **Thus, the summaries below should be considered as preliminary, and Roundtable participants will be invited to provide updated information as relevant before this report is revised and updated.**

Argentina

87. Among the six largest equity markets in Latin America, Argentina has been the outlier, experiencing the sharpest decline in market capitalization levels (Figure 5) over the last decade, and lowest levels of trading volumes in the region (Figures 10 and 11).

88. Previous Roundtable discussions and reviews of Argentinean corporate governance have found the legal framework to be generally consistent with international standards, but have pointed to varying factors influencing the capital market environment in Argentina over time. Argentina enacted comprehensive capital market reform regulation through Decree 677/01 in 2001. This featured many of the corporate governance-related requirements considered consistent with international good practice, such as extension of advance notice requirements for annual general shareholder meetings, provisions to allow minority shareholders with at least 2% ownership to initiate items on the agenda, processes for proxy solicitation, requirements for disclosure and approval of related party transactions, etc. A subsequent regulation in 2004 required the establishment of audit committees with a majority of independent directors. However, Roundtable participants have noted a lack of a corporate governance market culture in Argentina. With Argentina's economy suffering during the early part of the decade from financial crisis, many in the Argentinean business community were critical of the requirements, suggesting that the applied international standards were not adapted effectively to their market conditions. Companies considered that there was little market incentive to adapt good corporate governance practices because shareholders were not active in rewarding better practices with higher market prices.

89. Interestingly, in more recent years, the business community has become somewhat more vocal in advocating better corporate governance practices. This has come in an environment in which they have

raised concerns about the role of the state in the market following the 2008 nationalization of private pension funds, now publicly owned and overseen by the National Social Security Administration (Administración Nacional de la Seguridad Social – ANSES), which has used its ownership stakes to appoint directors to the boards of a number of listed companies. To be sure, state use of its ownership stakes to appoint board members is consistent with good corporate governance practices, but in a context in which the state plays a strong role in the economy as a whole, it is important to also ensure that its ownership stake is not used to disproportionately influence the business of the company. The nationalization of Argentina’s private pension funds, coupled with the well-publicized expropriation by the government of 51% of the shares of YPF owned by Repsol, has appeared to have an impact both on companies’ willingness to list in the market as well as on foreign investor perception of the risks of investing in Argentinean equity markets.

90. It is also clear, however, that the Argentinean government has been actively considering ways to strengthen its capital markets, including through the enactment of a new Capital Market Law in December 2012. Its announced objectives are to:

- Promote a cultural change in savings and investment;
- Strengthen retail investor protection; and
- Promote federal and inclusive capital markets.

91. According to CNV, its provisions imply a structural redesign of the capital market, including:

- No more self-regulation. The self-regulated organizations are now directly regulated by Argentina’s securities regulator, CNV;
- Encouragement of market demutualization;
- Expansion of the CNV’s powers of regulation and supervision to all the players involved in the capital market;
- Adoption of a generic concept of "Registered Agents"¹⁶.
- Lower dependence on current credit rating agencies..
- Protection of minority investors. The new law imposes mandatory rules on public tender offer and the duty to report the dividend policy and the remuneration of members of the board of directors and the compensation policy for company managers.
- Exchange of information between agencies that regulate the financial system: the CNV, the Central Bank of Argentina, the Argentine Insurance Authority and the Financial Intelligence Unit in order to perform their research duties, preserving the confidentiality of the information.
- The police power of the CNV has been strengthened. The CNV may declare, without any preliminary investigation, that any acts submitted to its inspection are irregular and without effect for administrative purposes whenever they are in conflict with the Law 26,831 or any regulations issued by the CNV, giving the CNV the power to request the assistance of the police force.

¹⁶ "Registered Agents" includes “Natural persons and/or legal entities authorized by the CNV to be registered in the applicable registers created by the CNV for purposes of performing trading, underwriting, distribution, brokering, settlement and clearing, custody and collective deposit of securities, management and custody of collective investment products, risk rating and any other activities that, at the discretion of the CNV, must be registered for purposes of developing the capital market” (Section 2 of Law 26,831).

- With the enactment of Law 26,831 there is an intention to incorporate new players (professional associations, unions, SMEs, small savers) through dissemination programs, financial education, distribution channels (dealers) and trading platforms.

92. As mentioned earlier in the report, the CNV has also proposed to develop a single, integrated trading system to promote interconnection and consolidation of Argentina's eight exchanges into a single market, to be managed by an entity formed by one, several or all entities in the market. CNV's intent is to promote both the "demand side," through financial education programmes aimed at both retail and institutional investors, and also work to promote greater awareness of the capital markets as a source of finance for SMEs.

Brazil

93. Brazil's experience of initiating the Novo Mercado, Level 1 and Level 2 corporate governance listing segments during the last decade has generally been recognized internationally as a text-book case of how attention to corporate governance, combined with the right economic conditions, can lead to equity market and economic growth¹⁷. The corporate governance tiers provided the structure under which Brazil experienced a boom in IPOs and listings between 2003-2007, with the market welcoming the higher levels of disclosure, requirements for independent directors, dispute resolution mechanisms and other minority shareholder protections available to shareholders investing in companies on these listing tiers.

94. It is important to note that Brazil has also undertaken a number of regulatory improvements in recent years applying to all listed companies, including strengthening of disclosure requirements through Instruction 480 requiring annual reporting on a Reference Form, including substantial new requirements on related party transactions and compensation practices; adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards in 2008; and the issuance of regulations to strengthen access to and participation in annual shareholder meetings, including through mechanisms to allow for electronic voting. In addition, the Brazilian Securities Commission's issuance of Guideline 35 in 2008, recommending safeguards to ensure against abuse of related party transactions during mergers and acquisitions, has been seen as an important influence in ensuring the fair treatment of minority shareholders in such transactions.

95. Most recently, a voluntary initiative was announced in 2012, the Committee on Mergers and Acquisitions (CAF), also known as the Brazilian Takeover Panel, to provide an additional, voluntary mechanism that companies can commit to follow to help to ensure fair treatment of shareholders during mergers and acquisitions.

96. Earlier sections of this report have already highlighted the strong growth both in market capitalization as well as trading volume during this period, as well as the downward trend in ADRs as domestic companies have increasingly relied on local markets for their equity capital needs rather than cross-listing abroad. Data also show growing diversity in company structures, including a small but growing number of companies with dispersed ownership. The regulatory and voluntary initiatives related to takeovers are also a reflection of the active market for corporate control in Brazilian markets.

97. However, the report also notes the more recent slow-down in equity markets following the global financial crisis and recent, weaker macroeconomic conditions. In fact, Chile, Colombia and Mexico all reported higher numbers of IPOs in 2012 than Brazil's three reported IPOs, down from 11 in 2010 and 2011. Some recent press coverage has raised the question of whether Brazil risks losing its place as the

¹⁷ See, for example, Santana, M. et al, (2007) "Novo Mercado and its followers: Case Studies in Corporate Governance Reform" Global Corporate Governance Forum Focus 5.

most dynamic equity market in the region.¹⁸ Concerns have revolved around market scandals and lack of rigor in terms of corporate governance practices among some recently listed companies, including some listed on the Novo Mercado and Level 1 listing segments, as well as around the role played by the state in the capital markets. The concerns about the state's role have been most visible in the government's intervention in 2012 with electricity companies, asking them to renegotiate contracts with the state to set electricity rates at lower levels.

98. The case of the Petrobras election of its board of directors in 2012 also raised concerns, when the pension funds of state enterprises joined state development banks (BNDES and BNDESPar) in voting as minority shareholders and used this status to elect to the Board members that other minority shareholders did not consider to be independent of the state's controlling interest. This development led to much discussion within the market, a review of the case by CVM, as well as a CVM staff letter reflecting on past cases relevant to the Petrobras case. Following these developments, the more recent Petrobras election of May, 2013 reflected the understanding that these state-linked shareholders should not vote as minority shareholders, resulting in the election of a board member considered to be fully independent of the state's interest. The resolution of the case may be seen as a positive illustration of the active role of minority shareholders in Brazil and their ability to influence corporate governance through market pressures.

99. Despite this recent, positive development and some pick-up of IPO activity in 2013, policy-makers and market institutions in Brazil are continuing to consider how they may spur greater participation in local equity markets, through a Task Force established during the past year to develop a strategy to attract SMEs to public equity markets in Brazil. Until now, Brazil's attempt to create a special listing segment for smaller companies, known as Bovespa MAIS, has led to only four listings as of 7 June 2013. Details of this initiative will be discussed at the Roundtable meeting.

Chile

100. As noted earlier in this report, Chile has consistently led the region in terms of market capitalization as a percentage of GDP, with its levels now exceeding those of the OECD average, and with by far the highest number of listed companies in the region as a percentage of the population. Yet, its overall market size remains small, with trade volumes and turnover ratios lagging behind those of Brazil.

101. Among the more positive characteristics of the market is a legal and regulatory framework that was reviewed as part of Chile's accession process prior to joining the OECD in 2010, and found to be largely consistent with the recommendations of the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. In particular, the OECD welcomed capital market reform legislation enacted in 2009, which defined a number of important roles for independent directors serving as the Chair of the Board's 3-person Directors' Committee, which is responsible for reviewing and making recommendations to the full board on a range of sensitive issues such as on related party transactions, hiring of external auditors, and director and executive remuneration. The success of this model hinges on the ability of minority shareholders to elect independent board members, led by Chile's private pension funds which historically play an active role in defending minority shareholder rights. In most cases they are able to make use of cumulative voting mechanisms to elect at least one board member, while in cases where they are able to elect two or more, independent board members will comprise a majority of the Directors' Committee.

102. The OECD in its accession review recommended further improvements to disclosure (including the need for requirements to disclose shareholder agreements), as well as to nomination and dismissal

¹⁸ See, for example, Bruna Maia, "Bye, bye, Brasil: Markets in neighbouring countries are becoming more attractive to foreigners," in *Capital Aberto International Edition*, May 2013 (http://www.capitalaberto.com/english/ler_artigo.php?pag=2&sec=71&i=3495)

processes for the heads of Chile's regulatory institutions to ensure their political independence. It also highlighted the particular dominance of conglomerates in the Chilean market and the need to give ongoing attention to the issue of monitoring and oversight of corporate groups.

103. In subsequent reviews of Chile's progress against these recommendations in 2012, Chile's representatives have noted their development of legislation that would create a new structure for oversight of SVS aimed at strengthening the political independence of the regulator, but this legislation has not yet been enacted.

104. SVS has also moved to improve disclosure through a regulation issued in May 2013, aimed at enhancing the quality of the information to be provided to the market in several areas. These include disclosure in relation to management (organizational structure, economic interests of executive officers and directors remuneration and allowances other than usual, as ownership of equity or compensation plans) and ownership and control (way of exercising control, scheme of direct and indirect property relations). This new regulation is intended to provide investors with additional information on the nature of the relationships among the companies, their related parties and the conglomerates to which they belong.

105. An additional significant development in Chile has been a related party transactions case involving Chile's largest private power producer, Enersis, in which Enersis' controlling owner, Spanish-based Endesa, instigated a proposed USD 8 billion capital increase in July 2012. Chilean pension funds strenuously objected to the terms of the deal, and following the issuance of an SVS statement on interpretation of requirements for related party transactions suggesting that the capital increase would require the approval of board members that are not linked to the controlling shareholder, the proposed capital increase was withdrawn, pending further consideration of the board.¹⁹

106. The experience with Enersis in Chile is an illustration of the critical role of not only having the legal framework and protections in place, but having some prominent cases in which those rights are exercised to send a signal to the market that they must be complied with. Nevertheless, there appears to be a growing advocacy in Chile, at least within the business community, of the need to foster voluntary and self-regulatory initiatives to complement the Chilean legal framework. Chile is the only country in the region that does not have a voluntary corporate governance code that is actively used or recognized by the market.

Colombia

107. As reported above, Colombia has led the region over the last decade in its market capitalization growth, from an 18% average share of GDP from 2001-2005 to 60% as of 2011, rising to second place in the region behind Chile. Colombia also reported the largest average company size and highest market concentration, indicating that its growth has been driven by its largest companies, including both state- and privately-owned conglomerates. Its liquidity levels, however, continue to lag behind those of Brazil, Chile and Mexico in terms of trading volume as percent of GDP and turnover ratios.

108. Investment and economic growth in Colombia is likely to be a reflection of a wider set of trends, including the greater stability of the country in recent years following decades of internal conflict. But Colombia has also actively pursued corporate governance improvements during this period which have positioned it to allow for its listed companies to make use of domestic capital markets as one factor in its overall growth.

¹⁹ See OECD (2012) "Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable Task Force Report on Related Party Transactions."

109. Significant developments in the Colombian corporate governance framework have included the enactment of a Securities Market Law in 2005 that required boards to have at least 25% of their members to be independent; to establish audit committees that include an independent member as chair; a requirement to separate the board chairman from the position of CEO; and a requirement that shareholder agreements be disclosed in order to have effect. Subsequent to that, a major development in the market was the establishment of a voluntary corporate governance code in 2007 with 41 recommended practices, requiring companies to report on whether they comply, but not requiring an explanation in case of non-compliance. This requirement was changed in 2012 to now require companies to also provide explanations in the case of non-compliance.

110. Colombia has also enacted a number of requirements in 2007 in relation to pension funds, requiring them to take into account corporate governance of the companies they invest in, and to disclose the importance that they place on corporate governance in their investment decision process. Regulatory requirements for mutual funds also call for them to consider relevant corporate government regulations, including corporate reports on compliance with the national corporate governance code, within their investment policies

111. A final and long-awaited development has been the implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard requirements. Discussions about the implementation of IFRS have been under way in Colombia for at least a decade, with implementation timetables repeatedly delayed. In July 2009, the Colombian government enacted a law for the convergence of national standards with IFRS, which was scheduled to begin in 2013 for some users. However, in December 2012, it was announced that the Colombian government would defer mandatory IFRS application to 2015, in reaction to many submissions received noting difficulties regarding its implementation. Application of the IFRS for SMEs will be deferred to 2016.

112. More generally, Colombia has embarked on a comprehensive push to meet international standards through the priority its government has given over the last two years to obtaining an invitation to become a member of the OECD. As follow-up to the OECD's decision in May 2013 to invite Colombia to begin the accession process to become an OECD member, the OECD Corporate Governance Committee will assess Colombia's willingness and ability to implement the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and Guidelines on Corporate Governance of State-Owned Enterprises. The World Bank has also recently conducted a review of Colombia against the OECD Principles, but the Colombian government has not agreed to release the report publicly.

Mexico

113. Mexico, as the second largest market in the region, has experienced sharp increases in the last few years in market index values, IPO activity, as well as in the price-to-earnings ratio of its companies (17.6 at the end of 2012). As Mexico has not undertaken major corporate governance changes since the enactment of its Securities Market Law reforms in 2005, it would be difficult to attribute the recent improvements in market activity directly to corporate governance reforms (although Mexico stands out in Latin America as one of the few countries lacking any state ownership in listed companies, an issue that is currently attracting much attention from foreign investors in the region).

114. Nevertheless, the 2005 Securities Market Law Reforms established important improvements to the legal framework related to board duties of loyalty and care, requirements for independent board members and audit committees, and to disclosure requirements, including disclosure of shareholder agreements and restrictions on their use. A distinctive aspect of the reform was to establish a new type of company, "Sociedades Anónimas Promotoras de Inversion, or "SAPIs," which are allowed relief from

regulatory requirements for a transitional period in an attempt to attract more companies to list on the market.

115. More recently, pension fund regulators have established new requirements aimed at promoting their more active consideration of corporate governance by managers of Mexico's growing mandatory pension savings scheme (AFORES), including allowing them for the first time beginning in 2010 to invest in individual companies rather than only in indexed funds. Still, Mexico has quite a large number of small pension funds, meaning that both their capacities to engage in the market and the size of their individual investments tend to be much smaller than in a number of other markets such as Colombia, Chile and Peru, where pension funds are encouraged to play an active role in the markets.

116. Less information was available on developments in Mexico than for some other markets reviewed for this report, as Mexico has in some cases elected not to participate in certain Roundtable initiatives and provision of information. The recent participation of the securities market and pension fund regulators in the Roundtable's work on institutional investors and CNBV's participation in the Roundtable Task Force on Related Party Transactions therefore has been a positive development. A number of representatives of the private sector and corporate governance institutes such as the Center for Excellence in Corporate Governance have maintained an active role in the Roundtable, providing information on the use of Mexico's corporate governance code (disclosed to and overseen by the Mexican Stock Exchange), corporate governance indices, and the practices of boards of directors.

Peru

117. Peru's relatively small market has stood out for its high level of stock returns (a 2,728% increase in cumulative average stock returns since 1994!), as noted earlier in this report, but at the same time it is plagued by higher market concentration and lower trade volumes than any of the six countries reviewed except Argentina. Peru also had smaller numbers of IPOs (3 in the last decade) than the other five countries reviewed here.

118. Pension funds, which have been active in the nomination of board members and in developing guidance for board members elected by their votes, have nevertheless noted the difficulty in establishing differentiated investment strategies with so few local equities to invest in. Peru established an institutional investor task force in 2011 to promote implementation of the Roundtable's recommendations on this subject and has also participated in the Roundtable's Task Force on Related Party Transactions. The planned update of Peru's "Principles of Corporate Governance for Peruvian Corporations" was seen as a potential opportunity to enhance the quality of disclosure of RPTs, among other improvements.

119. The Peruvian Principles are an important part of Peru's corporate governance framework, serving as the basis for comply or explain reporting to the regulator on corporate governance practices, and for a rating programme overseen by the Lima Stock Exchange of listed company governance. The Stock Exchange's ratings are used to maintain a "corporate governance index" which groups together and recognizes companies that have obtained high scores on their corporate governance practices. The rationale behind the index is that these companies will obtain relatively higher share prices, incentivizing other companies to follow suit. The issue of corporate governance indices and the experience of Peru, Brazil and Mexico in this regard will be further discussed at the Roundtable in a session featuring a World Bank review of experience across eight markets with such indices.

Ecuador

120. Only limited data were available on developments in Ecuador, as previous Roundtable reports have tended to focus on the six largest markets. It is therefore proposed to expand this section following

the Roundtable meeting based on the Roundtable's discussions and further data provided by their authorities, for example, regarding provisions of recent Companies Law amendments.

121. Ecuador faces the same challenges as other smaller markets in the region – how to attract liquidity and listings with only a small number of listed companies (41), many of which do not actively trade shares. The Quito Stock Exchange has undertaken major educational initiatives to promote corporate governance improvements and listings on the Exchange, and has recently established a corporate governance institute to further support such improvements. Relative to population size, Ecuador has done as well as most of the larger markets in terms of the number of listed companies per million people (4). But with low liquidity and a lack of actively traded shares to invest in, it is difficult to attract new investment and new issuers to the market. Ecuador, like Mexico, so far has chosen not to list state-owned enterprises on its market, but this remains one possible avenue to spur a wider choice of listings on the market.

8. Conclusions and Issues for Discussion

122. This review of equity market trends in the region finds that Latin American markets have experienced substantial growth over the last decade, sharing in the wider global trend among emerging markets to account for a growing share of global market capitalization and equity financing.

123. Nevertheless, the high levels of market concentration and declining overall number of listed companies suggest that this success is not widely shared, and has been concentrated in the region's largest companies. Brazil for example, has approximately 66,000 medium and large-sized companies, but only about 400 listed companies, or fewer than 1%, taking advantage of equity market financing to support their growth. The important role played by large conglomerates – including financial, industrial or mixed groups, often family-owned and in some cases with state ownership – combined with low liquidity, particularly among smaller listed companies, raises distinctive challenges for the markets and for corporate governance.

124. Latin American policy-makers and market institutions have responded to these challenges through a number of actions aimed at enhancing the role of equity finance as a vehicle for corporate finance and economic growth. These steps have included:

- Consolidation of stock exchange trading through the MILA initiative integrating the trading platforms of Chile, Colombia and Peru (with the possibility of Mexico also joining), as well as a consolidation proposal under consideration in Argentina for its eight exchanges;
- Adoption of regulations for pension funds and other institutional investors establishing corporate governance requirements for their own oversight, including for disclosure and appropriate management of conflicts of interest, and encouraging or mandating them to take corporate governance into account in their equity investment decisions;
- Initiatives to facilitate participation in shareholder meetings through electronic voting (in Brazil) or through stream-lined proxy voting rules;
- The enactment of director independence requirements, clarifications of directors' duties and establishment of audit committees (or Directors Committees) with at least one independent director in most markets, complemented by active corporate governance institutes and institutes of directors involved in training and corporate governance awareness programmes;

- Widespread adoption of IFRS (except in Colombia, where implementation is in process) and increasing attention in some markets to disclosure of related party transactions;
- Active regulatory enforcement and issuance of guidelines and advisory opinions, particularly in Brazil and Chile, to facilitate enforcement and to prevent or resolve disputes between shareholders.
- Self-regulatory initiatives such as the Novo Mercado in Brazil and comply or explain disclosure of practices against national corporate governance codes in Argentina, Colombia, Mexico and Peru.
- Slower take-up of global trends involving high frequency trading, market fragmentation and exchange traded funds which may, taken together, undermine individual shareholder incentives to actively monitor corporate governance, and impede efficient allocation of capital within the market.

125. Clearly, however, major challenges remain, as they do in all markets, in seeking to ensure that shareholders, board members and management all play the roles that enable corporate governance to function effectively in supporting strong company performance and efficient allocation of capital. Beyond this overall challenge, the Roundtable has identified a number of more specific challenges including:

- Achieving the balance between regulation aimed at ensuring minority shareholder protection and investor confidence, while maintaining the necessary flexibility for companies to adopt practices tailored to their specific circumstances, and minimizing the costs and compliance burdens that may deter companies from making use of equity finance opportunities;
- Ensuring effective and efficient enforcement of corporate governance rules through regulatory institutions; and predictable and timely court processes;
- Shifting the focus of board improvements from static analysis of board member composition to a more dynamic focus on how it functions and how it can most effectively tackle the issues of greatest importance to the company, such as strategy, risks and value creation.
- Addressing the corporate governance challenges associated with conglomerate structures, particularly in relation to disclosure and review of related party transactions;
- Considering the potential for listing of state-owned enterprises to spur equity market growth, while at the same time ensuring that the state acts according to high corporate governance standards in its role as owner;
- Considering the state's role as a direct source of investment in companies – whether through the equity markets or through more direct corporate finance – and its implications for the viability and attractiveness of equity market financing for corporate investment needs;
- Considering the use of corporate bond markets as an intermediate vehicle to enhance corporate governance and disclosure while providing family founders with the means to maintain full company control, which may ultimately facilitate later consideration of listing.
- Considering the establishment or promoting the use of SME listing segments with fewer corporate governance requirements, as some markets in the region have already done, and considering the impact of the tax code on incentives to list.

Issues for Discussion


- How do Roundtable participants see **the links between their own country's corporate governance improvements (or lack thereof) and the overall record of equity market growth and trading**? What other factors besides corporate governance should be considered to facilitate or support the active use of local equity markets?
- **Are the challenges cited above the right ones** that Latin American policy-makers and market institutions should focus on to promote greater and more effective use of equity markets to support companies' investment needs and wider economic growth? Are there others that should be given stronger consideration?
- **What further information (i.e. comparative research) or shifts in the legal or regulatory framework or corporate governance arrangements may be desirable** to make equity finance attractive not only to the region's largest and most established companies, but also to smaller, innovative growth companies?

References

- Andrews, D. and Serres, A. (2012), “Intangible Assets, Resource Allocation and Growth: A Framework for Analysis”, OECD Economics Department Working Papers, No. 989, OECD Publishing
- BlackRock Investment Institute (2011), Are Emerging Markets the Next Developed Markets?, available at https://www2.blackrock.com/webcore/litService/search/getDocument.seam?venue=PUB_IND&source=GLOBAL&contentId=1111146576
- Blundell-Wignall and Atkinson (2011), “Global SIFIs, Derivatives and Financial Stability”, OECD Journal: Financial Market Trends, Volume 2011, Issue 1
- Claessens, S. and Yurtoglu B. (2012), “Corporate Governance In Emerging Markets: A Survey”.
- Estrada G. et al (2010), “Financial Development and Economic Growth in Developing Asia”, ADB Working Paper Series, No. 233, November
- Goldman Sachs (2010a), “EM Equity in Two Decades: A Changing Landscape”, Global Economics Paper No: 204
- Goldman Sachs (2010b), “Shanghai in 2020: Asia’s Financial Centre”, Global Economics Paper No: 198
- Group of Thirty (2013), Long-term Finance and Economic Growth
- IOSCO (2012), Development and Regulation of Institutional Investors in Emerging Markets, available at <http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD384.pdf>
- IMF (2012), International Financial Statistics, Yearbook 2012
- McKinsey Global Institute (2011), The Emerging Equity Gap: Growth and Stability in the New Investor Landscape.
- OECD (2010), Perspectives on Global Development 2010: Shifting Wealth.
- OECD (2011), Reform Priorities in Asia: Taking Corporate Governance to a Higher Level
- OECD (2012), The Role of MENA Stock Exchanges in Corporate Governance
- OECD (2013), Corporate Governance in Current Equity Markets.


ANNEX 1

Figure 5. Market capitalization of listed companies (% of GDP): 5 years average 1991-2011



Source: World Bank


Figure 6. Listed domestic companies, total: 1998-2011



Listed domestic companies are the domestically incorporated companies listed on the country's stock exchanges at the end of the year. This indicator does not include investment companies, mutual funds, or other collective investment vehicles.


Source: World Bank

Figure 7. Listed domestic companies per million inhabitants: 5 years average 1991-2011




Source: World Bank

Figure 8. Market concentration: Share in total market cap of top 10 most cap firms: 1998-2010




Source: World Federation of Exchanges - WFE

Figure 9. Market Capitalisation of Latin America listed companies on Dec 31st, 2012 (000' US\$)



Source: Economatrica database


Figure 10. Stocks traded, total value (% of GDP): 1988-2011



Stocks traded refers to the total value of shares traded during the period. This indicator complements the market capitalization ratio by showing whether market size is matched by trading.

Source: World Bank


Figure 11. Stocks traded, turnover ratio (%): 1989-2011



Turnover ratio is the total value of shares traded during the period divided by the average market capitalization for the period. Average market capitalization is calculated as the average of the end-of-period values for the current period and the previous period.


Source: World Bank

Figure 12. Average Free Float of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012




Source: Ecomatica database.

Figure 13. Capital raised on primary equity markets (only IPOs) in '000 000 USD from 2002 to 2012



Source: FIAB – Federación Iberoamericana de Bolsas


Figure 14. Capital raised on equity markets (IPO + Follow-On) in '000 000 USD from 2002 to 2012



Obs. around 50% (USD 39.5 billion) of the capital raised in 2010 at BM&FBovespa refers to the increase of Petrobras capital without money injection from the Brazilian Government.


Source: FIAB – Federación Iberoamericana de Bolsas

Figure 15. Capital raised on equity (IPO + Follow-On) and bond markets in '000 000 USD: 2004 to 2012




Source: Bond market data do not include debt issued by municipalities nor the Federal Governments. Brazilian data come from BM&FBovespa, ANBIMA and SND (National System of Registered Bonds: www.debentures.com.br). Data from Mexican bond market come from the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores de México. Data from Chilean bond market come from Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros de Chile. Data from all other countries come from FIAB (Federación Iberoamericana de Bolsas).

Figure 16. Percentage of listed firms listed on NYSE or on BM&FBOVESPA special listing segments Level2 or Novo Mercado




Source: Economatica database plus BM&F Bovespa

Figure 17. Average Stock Returns (%) of Latin America Listed Companies: 1995-2012




Source: Economatica

Figure 18. Cumulative Average Stock Returns of Latin America Listed Companies and FTSE 300: Dec 31st 1994 = 100




Source: Economica database plus Yahoo. Note: . FTSE 300 (E3X.FGI) is a broad index based on the stock returns of the 300 largest European companies ranked by market capitalisation.. Historical data are available at <http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=E3X.FGI>

Figure 19. Average price-to-earnings (PE ratio) of Latin America Listed Companies: 1995-2012




Source: Ecomatica database

Figure 20. Average percentage of voting shares held by the largest shareholder of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012




Source: Economatica database

Figure 21. Average percentage of voting shares held by the five largest shareholders of Latin America Listed Companies: 1998-2012



Source: Economatica database

Figure 22. Annual volume traded of Latin America listed companies in 2012 (000' US\$)



Source: OECD/IFC (2012): "Strengthening Latin American Corporate Governance: the Role of Institutional Investors," page 32.

PFAs data source: International Association of Pension Fund Supervision Organs, AIOS- June 2009. Brazil figures for Dec. 2009 provided by IBGC. Mutual funds data source: 2009 Investment Company Factbook, ICI (www.ici.org)

[^]Argentina: Pension fund data provided by the Argentinean Securities Regulator (CNV) for December 2009.

^{^^}Mexico: Mutual fund data as of September 2009.

^{*}Peru: Mutual funds figures are based on CONASEV statistics for December 2009 (at exchange rate 31/12/2009)

^{**}Colombia: "Mutual funds" figures based on 2007 figures provided by the Superfinanciera (only include trust funds).